

APPLICATION OF SOLITON SOLUTION THEORY TO PULSE WAVE MODELING

Vasylyuk S. V., Zaitsev D. V., Brytan A. V.

INTRODUCTION

Historically the beginning of the investigation of blood flow takes off implementation famous Bernoulli equation for describing blood behavior in large vessels. Also for initial estimating of capillary flow behavior where blood resistance inside single vessel is significant Poiseuille formula is used. Both expressions are obtained for case of the velocity profile calculating for a steady laminar incompressible flow, by solving the force balance applied to the fluid. In this assumption, the fluid particles move along constant streamlines and the velocity profile in the radial direction $u(r)$ does not change in the axial flow direction and for the certain point it is constant in time¹. Though using such types of models can't allow to describe the emergence and subsequent movement of arterial pulse waves. By the way the importance and versatility of this physiological phenomenon is caused the significant interest in its investigation and modelling².

From the physical point of view, blood flow is a rather complex process; many researchers even call it the third mode of flow. Difficulties in modeling this process are due to many factors: both purely “physical” (for example, the fact that the blood itself is not Newtonian fluid, blood flows through blood vessels and veins) and the fact that you have to take into account various regulatory functions. For this reason, most models based on the theory of mathematical hemodynamics are quite complex and difficult to apply.

There is huge amount of mathematical models for behavior modelling of arterial pulse wave. Actually this set can be divided in two branches. First of them is applied to the initiation and propagation of pulse wave in aorta and cardiovascular system in general. One of the simplest models in this area is

¹Chalyi A. (Ed.) (2017). Medical and Biological Physics (Textbook for Students of Higher Medical Institutions). Nova Knyha.

²Matthys Koen, Alastruey Jordi, Peiro Joaquim, Khir Ashraf, Segers Patrick, Verdonck Pascal, Parker Kim, Sherwin Spencer. (2007). “Pulse wave propagation in a model human arterial network: Assessment of 1-D numerical simulations against in vitro measurements”. *Journal of biomechanics*. Vol. 40 (15), pp. 3476–3486.

the Windkessel model³ which gives the expression for pressure in aorta during cardiac cycle which depending on form of cardiac stroke time dependence. Another names of this model are two element's model or analog model. According to the form of mathematical apparatus used in this model it is possible to draw analogy between blood and electric circuit. This idea was served as basis of consideration of cardiovascular system as analog of electric circuit. Such representation of cardiovascular system also was developed into approach of lumped^{4,5} and distributed⁶ parameter system. One of the main goals of such modelling is obtaining realistic and detailed pulse wave profile during cardiac cycle in aorta and explain its further propagation. The use of this approach makes it possible to simplify the consideration of such an effect as the expansion of the vessel lumen during the movement of a pulse wave through it.

But in the case of blood flow and wave propagation considering in separate vessel more convenient and strict approach is application of hydrodynamic equation⁷. Simultaneously for completing this system of equations it is necessary to add the elasticity equation for tube wall motion under varying internal pressure. The vessel walls often are assumed to be Hookian material, namely linear relation between stress and strain. However, taking into account the interaction of blood flow with a deformable vessel wall significantly complicates the distribution of this problem, which leads to the need to use various model representations⁸.

This part considers the approach of modeling pulse waves using soliton theory. The basic idea is that the nature of a real pulse wave is

³ Burattini R. *Identification and physiological interpretation of aortic impedance modeling*, in *Modelling Methodology for Physiology and Medicine*, eds. by E. Carson, C. Cobelli (*Academic, San Diego, 2001*). Chap. 8, pp. 213–252.

⁴ Naik K., Bhathawala P. (2017). “Mathematical Modeling of Human Cardiovascular System: A Lumped Parameter Approach and Simulation”. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, Open Science Index 122, *International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Sciences*, vol. 11 (2), p. 73–84.

⁵ Traver J. E., Nuevo-Gallardo C., Tejado I., Fernández-Portales J., Ortega-Morán J. F., Pagador J. B., Vinagre B. M. (2022). “Cardiovascular Circulatory System and Left Carotid Model: A Fractional Approach to Disease Modeling”, **Fractal and Fractional**. Vol. 6 (2), p. 64.

⁶ Xiao Hanguang, Avolio Alberto, Zhao Mingfu (2015), Modeling and hemodynamic simulation of human arterial stenosis via transmission line model. *Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology*, vol. 16 (5), p. 1650067.

⁷ Khalid A. K., Othman Z. S., Shafee CT. M. N. I (2022). “A review of mathematical modelling of blood flow in human circulatory system”. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. IVol. 1988, p. 012010.

⁸ Bessems D., Rutten M., Van de Vosse F. (2007), “A wave propagation model of blood flow in large vessels using an approximate velocity profile function”. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 580, pp. 145–168.

very similar to the properties of solitons. The central role is played by the properties of the elastic interaction between solitons and solitons with local perturbations^{9, 10, 11}.

1. Volobuev's model

In⁹ the process of fluid flow through an elastic thin-walled tube is considered. The nonlinear differential equations of these processes are derived; the modeling of a solitary (pulse wave) is performed on the basis of the Korteweg – de Vries models and the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The main advantage of these models is that in their derivation the process of pulse wave propagation is considered quite deeply. It is noted that due to the complexity of the blood flow process, these models describe only some of the phenomena observed in the cardiovascular system.

In our work, we consider the pulse wave without taking into account the processes of blood flow regulation; we can say that we consider the pulse wave as the propagation of a solitary (pulse) wave in an elastic thin-walled tube. Based on this, we chose the Korteweg-de Vries equation as a model:

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0. \quad (1)$$

2. Properties of solitons

Soliton is a localized stationary or stationary on average perturbation of a homogeneous or spatially periodic nonlinear medium^{9, 10, 11}. Up to the beginning of the 1960s, soliton was called a soliton wave – a wave packet of a constant shape, propagating with a steady speed over the surface of a heavy liquid of a finite depth and in plasma. Nowadays many different physical objects fall underneath the definition of soliton. The first classification of soliton can be made according to the number of spatial dimensions, along which the stationary perturbation of a nonlinear medium is localized. The one-dimensional soliton includes classical soliton waves in liquids, domain walls in ferro- and antiferromagnetics, 2p-pulses and envelope solitons in nonlinear optics^{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17}.

⁹ Volobuev A. N. (1995), “Fluid flow in tubes with elastic walls”, *Physics-Uspexhi*, vol. 38, no. 2, p. 169.

¹⁰ Ablowitz M. and Sigur H. (1987), *Solitons and the method of the inverse problem*, Mir, Moscow, USSR.

¹¹ Darmaev T. G., Tsybikov A. S. and Khabituev B. V. (2014), “Mathematical simulation of pulse waves based on the theory of solitons and Korteweg-De Vries equation”, *Matematicheskoe modelirovanie pulsovyyih voln na osnove teorii solitonov i uravneniya Kortevega de Friza*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 35–39.

¹² Volobuev A. N. (1995), “Fluid flow in tubes with elastic walls”, *Physics-Uspexhi*, vol. 38, no. 2, p. 169.

In mathematical terms, soliton are localized stationary solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations or their generalizations (differential-difference, integro-differential, etc. equations). In many cases different physical situations and phenomena are described by the same equations, e. g. the Korteweg-de Vries equation, the Sine-Gordon equation, the Schrödinger nonlinear equation, the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation^{12–16}. Linear equations (except the one-dimensional wave equation) have no localized stationary solutions. S. are essentially non-linear objects whose behavior and properties are fundamentally different from the behavior of wave packets of small amplitude. The difference is especially strong if the soliton has a topological charge, i. e. if the configuration of the wave field in the presence of the soliton is topologically different from the configuration of the unperturbed state. So, a number of equations having soliton solutions belong to the class of equations where the inverse scattering problem is applicable and most of them are integrable Hamiltonian systems^{17, 18, 19, 20}.

3. Soliton solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation

The Korteweg-de Vries equation is considered:

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0. \quad (1)$$

In^{12, 13} the method of forming the N-soliton solution of this equation is presented. The general solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation consists of a soliton and a non-soliton part. In our case, we consider a solution in which the local perturbations (non-soliton part) are negligibly small. That is, we are building a somewhat idealized model that does not take into account

¹³ Ablowitz M. and Sigur H. (1987), Solitons and the method of the inverse problem, Mir, Moscow, USSR.

¹⁴ Darmaev T. G., Tsybikov A. S. and Khabituev B. V. (2014), “Mathematical simulation of pulse waves based on the theory of solitons and Korteweg-De Vries equation”, *Matematicheskoe modelirovanie pulsovyyih voln na osnove teorii solitonov i uravneniya Kortevega de Friza*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 35–39.

¹⁵ Newell A. (1989), Solitons in Mathematics and Physics, Mir, Moscow, USSR.

¹⁶ Lam J. L. (1983), *Vvedenie v teoriiu solitonov* [Introduction to the theory of solitons], Mir, Moscow, USSR.

¹⁷ Zakharov B. E. (ed.) (1980), *Teoriya solitonov: metod obratnoy zadachi* [Theory of solitons: method of the inverse problem], Nauka, Moscow, USSR.

¹⁸ Trullinger S. E., Zakharov V. E. and Pokrovsky V. L. (ed.) (1986), Solitons, Elsevier, Amsterdam.

¹⁹ Kivshar Yu. S. and Malomed B. A. (1989), “Dynamics of solitons in nearly integrale systems”, *Rev Mod Phys*, v. 61, p. 763.

²⁰ Sun B., et al. (2018), “A survey of knowledge and application of mechanical thromboprophylaxis among the medical staff of intensive care units in North China”, *Clin Respir J.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1591–1597.

small local perturbations. Hirota²¹ showed that in general the N-soliton solution has the form:

$$u = 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln F. \quad (2)$$

Where F is the determinant of some matrix²².

Substituting (2) into (1), once integrating and assuming the integration constant equal to zero, we obtain:

$$F_{xt}F - F_xF_t + F_{xxxx}F - 4F_{xxx}F_x + 3F_{xx}^2 = 0. \quad (3)$$

For further analysis it is convenient to enter the operator:

$$D_x^m D_t^n ab = (\partial_x - \partial_x)^m (\partial_x - \partial_x)^n a(x, t) b(x', t') \Big|_{x'=x, t'=t}. \quad (4)$$

So, the Equation (3) can be rewritten as:

$$(D_x D_t + D_x^4) F \cdot F = 0. \quad (5)$$

Next, suppose that the function F could be represented as a formal series:

$$F = 1 + \varepsilon f^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 f^{(2)} + \dots \quad (6)$$

Where

$$f^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^N e^{\eta_i}, \eta_i = k_i x - \omega_i t + \eta_i^0. \quad (7)$$

where k_i, ω_i, η_i^0 – are constants.

In the case of the Korteweg – de Vries equation, this formal series ends. Indeed, substituted (6) into (5), we found

$$(D_x D_t + D_x^4)(1 + \varepsilon f^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 f^{(2)} + \dots)(1 + \varepsilon f^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 f^{(2)} + \dots) = 0.$$

And equated to zero, the coefficients for each degree of ε , we obtained

$$O(1): 0 = 0. \quad (8a)$$

$$O(\varepsilon): 2(\partial_x \partial_t + \partial_x^4) f^{(1)} = 0. \quad (8b)$$

$$O(\varepsilon^2): 2(\partial_x \partial_t + \partial_x^4) f^{(2)} = -(\partial_x \partial_t + \partial_x^4) f^{(1)} f^{(1)}. \quad (8c)$$

$$O(\varepsilon^3): 2(\partial_x \partial_t + \partial_x^4) f^{(3)} = -2(\partial_x \partial_t + \partial_x^4) f^{(1)} f^{(2)}. \quad (8d)$$

Equation (8b) is homogeneous equation. As a solution of this equation, we took eq. (7). If we try to continue the calculations of the next parts of the series, starting with solution eq. (7) for an arbitrary random N , we could encounter the analytical difficulties. More often, we can obtain equations solutions for $N = 1, 2$, and then hypothesize the structure of the solution for an arbitrary random N and prove it by induction method. For $N = 1$ $f^{(1)} = e^{\eta_1}$. Then it follows from eq.(8b) that $\omega_1 = -k_1^3$. We could obtain $f^{(2)}$ from the relation (8c), which reduces to $(\partial_x \partial_t + \partial_x^4) f^{(2)} = 0$.

So $f^2 = 0$ and the series sequence breaks off. Therefore, for $N = 1$ we have:

²¹ Ablowitz M. and Sigur H. (1987), Solitons and the method of the inverse problem, Mir, Moscow, USSR.

²² Dennis M., et al. (2015), “The Clots in Legs Or sTockings after Stroke (CLOTS) 3 trial: a randomised controlled trial to determine whether or not intermittent pneumatic compression reduces the risk of post-stroke deep vein thrombosis and to estimate its cost-effectiveness”, *Health Technol Assess.*, vol. 19, pp. 1–90.

$$F_1 = 1 + e^{\eta_1}, \omega_1 = -k_1^3, u = \frac{k_1^2}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{1}{2} (k_1 x - k_1^3 t + \eta_1^{(0)})$$

For $N=2$, we take equation (8b) as a solution

$$f^{(1)} = e^{\eta_1} + e^{\eta_2}, \eta_i = k_i x - k_i^3 t + \eta_i^{(0)}$$

Then (8c) reduces to the equation:

$$2(\partial_x \partial_t + \partial_x^4) f^{(2)} = -2((k_1 - k_2)(-\omega_1 + \omega_2) + (k_1 - k_2)^4) e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2}$$

That is have a solution²³

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(2)} &= e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + A_{12}} \\ e^{A_{ij}} &= \left(\frac{k_i - k_j}{k_i + k_j} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

(please, note that $k_1 \neq k_2$). Substituting $f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}$ in (8d), we make sure that the right-hand side of (8d) is zero, so let's take it $f^{(3)} = 0$. Thus, for $N=2$

$$F_2 = 1 + e^{\eta_1} + e^{\eta_2} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + A_{12}}.$$

The function $u = 2d^2(\ln F_2)/dx^2$ corresponds to the two-soliton solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. Performing similar calculations for $N=3$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &= 1 + e^{\eta_1} + e^{\eta_2} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + A_{12}} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + A_{13}} + e^{\eta_2 + \eta_3 + A_{23}} + \\ &\quad + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + A_{12} + A_{13} + A_{23}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients A_{ij} are determined by formula (9).

Based on the above, it is hypothesized that the structure of the general N -soliton solution has the form²³:

$$F_N = \sum_{\underline{\mu}=0,1} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \eta_i + \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N \mu_i \mu_j A_{ij} \right)$$

where the sum of $\underline{\mu}$ runs on all sets $\mu_i, i = \overline{1, \dots, N}$. Note that $\mu_i, i = \overline{1, \dots, N}$ – they are associated with the phase shift of solitons during scattering.

4. Application of the theory of solitons to modeling of a pulse wave

It is assumed that the pulse wave is a set of pulses interacting with each other in time. Since a soliton is a solitary wave that elastically interacts with arbitrary local perturbations, it makes sense to consider the system of interacting solitons as a model. That is, we put the correspondence between:

²³ Darmaev T. G., Tsybikov A. S. and Khabituev B. V. (2014), “Mathematical simulation of pulse waves based on the theory of solitons and Korteweg-De Vries equation”, *Matematicheskoe modelirovanie pulsovyyih voln na osnove teorii solitonov i uravneniya Kortevega de Friza*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 35–39.

1 pulse – for soliton. So, the N-soliton solutions of the Korteweg – de Vries equation are used as the analytical form of soliton waves^{24, 25, 26}.

$$u = 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln F_N.$$

The potential u is a complex function represented as a combination of exponential functions with base e. The obtained solution u includes 3N parameters, through which variables $\eta_i = k_i x + \omega_i t - h_i^{(0)}$ are determined, where $k_i, \omega_i, \eta_i^{(0)}$ are the parameters of this system.

The following properties of solitons were used:

1. The amplitude of the i-th soliton, which does not closely interact with other solitons, is directly proportional to the corresponding parameter k_i , namely

$$u_{maxi} = \frac{1}{2} k_i^2.$$

2. The argument of the point of maximum of the i-th soliton is determined by the following expression:

$$x_{maxi} = - \frac{-\omega_i t + h_i^{(0)}}{k_i}.$$

3. The velocity in phase c is defined as the ratio of the coefficients at x and t. For the i-th soliton it is equal.

$$c_i = \omega_i / k_i.$$

For this system, all phase velocities are considered to be the same, since we assume that the real pulse wave does not change in time or, at least, for some period of time. That is $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_N$.

Using these properties, we obtain a system of equations as in [3]:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2} k_i^2 = u_i, i = \overline{1, N}; \\ - \frac{-\omega_i t + h_i^{(0)}}{k_i} = x_i, i = \overline{1, N} \\ \frac{\omega_i}{k_i} = \frac{\omega_{i+1}}{k_{i+1}}; i = \overline{1, N-1} \end{array} \right.$$

²⁴ Volobuev A. N. (1995), “Fluid flow in tubes with elastic walls”, *Physics-Uspeski*, vol. 38, no. 2, p. 169.

²⁵ Ablowitz M. and Sigur H. (1987), *Solitons and the method of the inverse problem*, Mir, Moscow, USSR.

²⁶ Darmaev T. G., Tsybikov A. S. and Khabituev B. V. (2014), “Mathematical simulation of pulse waves based on the theory of solitons and Korteweg-De Vries equation”, *Matematicheskoe modelirovanie pulsovyih voln na osnove teorii solitonov i uravneniya Kortevega de Friza*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 35–39.

As the values of the local maxima, we take the values of the coordinates of the vertices of each “hump” of the real pulse wave obtained experimentally.

5. The soliton theory application to intermittent pneumatic compression; influence on thrombus release

The resulting system consists of $3N-1$ equations, and we have $3N$ unknowns, therefore, one of the parameters we choose arbitrarily. Take $\omega_1 = 1$, then this system is solved definitely. Solving this system, we could obtain the solution of equation (1) that is agreed with the theory in^{27, 28, 29} ideas of applying the apparatus of mathematical modeling in medicine of pulse waves and in pneumatic device.

A recent survey of healthcare practitioners in North China revealed that the main concern with intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC) – supply is the fear of a thrombus release due to the soliton waves appearing in veins. This was expected by 35 % of respondents³⁰. And this is actually one of the first objections to discuss when getting acquainted with IPC in Ukraine. To assess the incidence of symptomatic pulmonary embolism (PE) in patients undergoing IPC therapy we performed a literature review searching the MEDLINE database with no language restrictions from January 1, 2017 until December 31, 2020. We consider two scenarios: when IPC starts after the onset of thrombosis, and when thrombosis occurs after IPC starts.

The first option is more often in unfavorable conditions, when an adequate diagnosis of thrombosis meets difficulties. These can be cases with mute blood clots, with low scores on the thrombotic risk scale, when it is not possible to perform routine ultrasound diagnostics, or when some vessels are less visible on the sensor.

In 2015, the CLOTS-3 study report appeared. In stroke, thromboprophylaxis by IPC begins post factum, when the thrombotic risk is already increasing. Although the authors excluded patients with symptoms of pre-existing thrombosis, the risk of having a thrombus was not entirely low.

²⁷ Volobuev A. N. (1995), “Fluid flow in tubes with elastic walls”, *Physics-Uspexhi*, vol. 38, no. 2, p. 169.

²⁸ Ablowitz M. and Sigur H. (1987), *Solitons and the method of the inverse problem*, Mir, Moscow, USSR.

²⁹ Darmaev T. G., Tsybikov A. S. and Khabituev B. V. (2014), “Mathematical simulation of pulse waves based on the theory of solitons and Korteweg-De Vries equation”, *Matematicheskoe modelirovanie pulsovyih voln na osnove teorii solitonov i uravneniya Kortevega de Friza*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 35–39.

³⁰ Sun B., et al. (2018), “A survey of knowledge and application of mechanical thromboprophylaxis among the medical staff of intensive care units in North China”, *Clin Respir J.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1591–1597.

Initial ultrasound was not performed, and the control one was unable to fully visualize the veins in almost half of the patients. Commenting on this, the authors noted: “There was a concern that the application of IPC to patients who may already have a deep vein thrombosis might displace the thrombus and increase the risk of PE. However, this potential risk has not been documented in the randomized controlled trials so far. We have not identified any case reports that provide convincing evidence that this has occurred”³¹.

The second scenario is a more typical when the IPC is used for thromboprophylaxis. We identified 9 trials with 40 667 participants, and the main results are presented in the Table 1.

Although thrombosis is more common with IPC than with heparin, dangerous complications such as clinical or fatal PE occur in less than 1 % of cases.

Table 1

The incidence of symptomatic PE in patients undergoing IPC therapy

	Patients with IPC		Patients without IPC	
	total	symptomatic PE cases	total	symptomatic PE cases
1	2	3	4	5
Neurosurgery, neurology ^{32, 33}	3870	10 (0.26 %)	3218	37 (1.15 %)
Orthopedic, traumatology ^{34, 35, 36}	607	2 (0.33 %)	1238	15 (1.21 %)

³¹ Dennis M., et al. (2015), “The Clots in Legs Or sTockings after Stroke (CLOTS) 3 trial: a randomised controlled trial to determine whether or not intermittent pneumatic compression reduces the risk of post-stroke deep vein thrombosis and to estimate its cost-effectiveness”, *Health Technol Assess.*, vol. 19, pp. 1–90.

³² Stulin I. D., et al. (2018), “Профилактика тромбозов вен нижних конечностей и тромбоэмболии легочной артерии у неврологических больных в условиях реанимационного отделения с использованием прерывистой пневмокомпрессии” [Prevention of venous thrombosis of the lower extremities and pulmonary embolism in neurological patients in the intensive care unit using intermittent pneumatic compression]. *Zhurnal nevrologii i psikiatrii imeni S. S. Korsakova*, vol. 118, 10, pp. 25–29.

³³ Chibbaro S., et al. (2018), “Evolution of prophylaxis protocols for venous thromboembolism in neurosurgery: results from a prospective comparative study on low-molecular-weight heparin, elastic stockings, and intermittent pneumatic compression devices”, *World Neurosurg.*, no. 109, e510–e516.

³⁴ Kim K. I., et al. (2019), “Pneumatic compression device does not show effective thromboprophylaxis following total knee arthroplasty in a low incidence population”, *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.*, vol. 105 (1), pp. 71–75.

³⁵ Tyagi V., et al. (2018), “The role of intraoperative intermittent pneumatic compression devices in venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in total hip and total knee arthroplasty”, *Orthopedics*. vol. 41 (1), e98–e103.

1	2	3	4	5
Oncology ^{37, 38, 39}	688	5 (0.73 %)	370	7 (1.9 %)
Other ^{40, 41}	20324	6 (0.03 %)	10819	6 (0.06 %)
Total	25489	23 (0.09 %)	15645	65 (0.42 %)

Moreover, some sources^{32, 33, 36, 40} show that the risk of PE with heparin may be higher than with IPC. This is probably because the IPC mimics physical activity. A thrombus that grows during IPC therapy is adapted to motor load, while the anatomical structure of a “heparin” thrombus may not be strong enough for soliton fluctuations. Another reason may be that during IPC, the thrombus progresses mainly in those veins unreachable to the external mechanical pressure. Therefore, IPC therapy just does not interfere with such a blood clot, in particular, does not break it.

CONCLUSION

The theory of solitons based on the proposed model with a simplified Korteweg – de Vries equation can be applied to explain the effects caused by pulse waves. Unlike most known models, this approach allows one to describe the nonlinear fluctuations that occur in the cardiovascular system and predict their possible health consequences.

SUMMARY

At the moment, there are many systems analyzing pulse waves (in most cases, mathematical statistics methods are used for the analysis). The idea of applying the apparatus of mathematical modeling in this topic seems to us

³⁶ Nam J. H., et al. (2017), “Does preoperative mechanical prophylaxis have additional effectiveness in preventing postoperative venous thromboembolism in elderly patients with hip fracture? – Retrospective case-control study”, *PLoS One*, vol. 12 (11), e0187337.

³⁷ Hata T., et al. (2019), “Efficacy and safety of anticoagulant prophylaxis for prevention of postoperative venous thromboembolism in Japanese patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal cancer surgery”, *Ann Gastroenterol Surg.*, vol. 3 (5), pp. 568–575.

³⁸ Jung Y. J., et al. (2018), “Venous thromboembolism incidence and prophylaxis use after gastrectomy among Korean patients with gastric adenocarcinoma: The PROTECTOR randomized clinical trial”, *JAMA Surg.*, vol. 153 (10), pp. 939–946.

³⁹ Dong J., et al. (2018), “Effect of low molecular weight heparin on venous thromboembolism disease in thoracotomy patients with cancer”, *J Thorac Dis.*, vol. 10 (3), pp. 1850–1856.

⁴⁰ Dhakal P., et al. (2019), “Effectiveness of sequential compression devices in prevention of venous thromboembolism in medically ill hospitalized patients: A retrospective cohort study”, *Turk J Haematol.*, vol. 36 (3), pp. 193–198.

⁴¹ Kamei H., et al. (2017), “Donor selection and prophylactic strategy for venous thromboembolic events in living donors of liver transplantation based on results of thrombophilia screening tests”, *Ann Transplant.*, vol. 22, pp. 409–416.

auspicious, but most of mathematical models are quite complicated and difficult to apply in practice.

The method of pulse waves modeling based on soliton solution of Korteweg – de Vries equation is considered in this work, test calculations in Maple 8 environment are made. The results obtained allow us to speak about the applicability of soliton theory for pulse wave modeling. It is planned to analyze the possibility of further application of N-soliton solution for medical purposes.

A significant causal relationship between PE and IPC procedures has not yet been established. The incidence of symptomatic PE developing during IPC therapy is 0.03–0.73 % and varies depending on the patient profile. However, caution should be when prescribing IPC therapy for ones with suspected venous thrombosis. More thorough further research is desirable in soliton wave's usage in medicine.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Chalyi A. (Ed.) (2017). *Medical and Biological Physics* (Textbook for Students of Higher Medical Institutions). Nova Knyha.

2. Matthys Koen, Alastruey Jordi, Peiro Joaquim, Khir Ashraf, Segers Patrick, Verdonck Pascal, Parker Kim, Sherwin Spencer. (2007), "Pulse wave propagation in a model human arterial network: Assessment of 1-D numerical simulations against in vitro measurements". *Journal of biomechanics*. Vol. 40 (15), pp. 3476–3486.

3. Burattini R. Identification and physiological interpretation of aortic impedance modeling, in *Modelling Methodology for Physiology and Medicine*, eds. by E. Carson, C. Cobelli (Academic, San Diego, 2001), Chap. 8, pp. 213–252.

4. Naik K., Bhathawala P. (2017). 'Mathematical Modeling of Human Cardiovascular System: A Lumped Parameter Approach and Simulation'. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, Open Science Index 122, *International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Sciences*, vol. 11 (2), p. 73–84.

5. Traver J. E., Nuevo-Gallardo C., Tejado I., Fernández-Portales J., Ortega-Morán J. F., Pagador J. B., Vinagre B. M. (2022), "Cardiovascular Circulatory System and Left Carotid Model: A Fractional Approach to Disease Modeling", *Fractal and Fractional*. Vol. 6 (2), p. 64.

6. Xiao Hanguang, Avolio Alberto, Zhao Mingfu (2015), Modeling and hemodynamic simulation of human arterial stenosis via transmission line model. *Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology*, vol. 16 (5), p. 1650067.

7. Khalid A. K., Othman Z. S., Shafee C. T. M. N. I (2022). “A review of mathematical modelling of blood flow in human circulatory system”. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. IVol. 1988, p. 012010.

8. Bessems D., Rutten M., Van de Vosse F. (2007), “A wave propagation model of blood flow in large vessels using an approximate velocity profile function” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 580, pp. 145–168.

9. Volobuev A. N. (1995), “Fluid flow in tubes with elastic walls”, *Physics-Uspexhi*, vol. 38, no. 2, p. 169.

10. Ablowitz M. and Sigur H. (1987), *Solitons and the method of the inverse problem*, Mir, Moscow, USSR.

11. Darmaev T. G., Tsybikov A. S. and Khabituev B. V. (2014), “Mathematical simulation of pulse waves based on the theory of solitons and Korteweg-De Vries equation”, *Matematicheskoe modelirovanie pulsovyih voln na osnove teorii solitonov i uravneniya Kortevoga de Friza*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 35–39.

12. Newell A. (1989), *Solitons in Mathematics and Physics*, Mir, Moscow, USSR.

13. Lam J. L. (1983), *Vvedenie v teoriiu solitonov* [Introduction to the theory of solitons], Mir, Moscow, USSR.

14. Zakharov B. E. (ed.) (1980), *Teoriya solitonov: metod obratnoy zadachi* [Theory of solitons: method of the inverse problem], Nauka, Moscow, USSR.

15. Trullinger S. E., Zakharov V. E. and Pokrovsky V. L. (ed.) (1986), *Solitons*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.

16. Kivshar Yu. S. and Malomed B. A. (1989), “Dynamics of solitons in nearly integrable systems”, *Rev Mod Phys*, v. 61, p. 763.

17. Sun B., et al. (2018), “A survey of knowledge and application of mechanical thromboprophylaxis among the medical staff of intensive care units in North China”, *Clin Respir J.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1591–1597.

18. Dennis M., et al. (2015), “The Clots in Legs Or sTockings after Stroke (CLOTS) 3 trial: a randomised controlled trial to determine whether or not intermittent pneumatic compression reduces the risk of post-stroke deep vein thrombosis and to estimate its cost-effectiveness”, *Health Technol Assess.*, vol. 19, pp. 1–90.

19. Stulin I. D., et al. (2018), “Profilaktika trombozov ven nizhnikh konechnostei i tromboembolii legochnoi arterii u nevrologicheskikh bol'nykh v usloviakh reanimatsionnogo otdeleniia s ispol'zovaniem preryvistykh pnevmokompressii” [Prevention of venous thrombosis of the lower extremities and pulmonary embolism in neurological patients in the intensive care unit using intermittent pneumatic compression]. *Zhurnal nevrologii i psikiatrii imeni S. S. Korsakova*, vol. 118, 10, pp. 25–29.

20. Chibbaro S., et al. (2018), “Evolution of prophylaxis protocols for venous thromboembolism in neurosurgery: results from a prospective comparative study on low-molecular-weight heparin, elastic stockings, and intermittent pneumatic compression devices”, *World Neurosurg.*, no. 109, e510–e516.

21. Kim K. I., et al. (2019), “Pneumatic compression device does not show effective thromboprophylaxis following total knee arthroplasty in a low incidence population”, *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.*, vol. 105 (1), pp. 71–75.

22. Tyagi V., et al. (2018), “The role of intraoperative intermittent pneumatic compression devices in venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in total hip and total knee arthroplasty”, *Orthopedics.* vol. 41 (1), e98–e103.

23. Nam J. H., et al. (2017), “Does preoperative mechanical prophylaxis have additional effectiveness in preventing postoperative venous thromboembolism in elderly patients with hip fracture? – Retrospective case-control study”, *PLoS One.* vol. 12 (11), e0187337.

24. Hata T., et al. (2019), “Efficacy and safety of anticoagulant prophylaxis for prevention of postoperative venous thromboembolism in Japanese patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal cancer surgery”, *Ann Gastroenterol Surg.*, vol. 3 (5), pp. 568–575.

25. Jung Y. J., et al. (2018), “Venous thromboembolism incidence and prophylaxis use after gastrectomy among Korean patients with gastric adenocarcinoma: The PROTECTOR randomized clinical trial”, *JAMA Surg.*, vol. 153 (10), pp. 939–946.

26. Dong J., et al. (2018), “Effect of low molecular weight heparin on venous thromboembolism disease in thoracotomy patients with cancer”, *J Thorac Dis.*, vol. 10 (3), pp. 1850–1856.

27. Dhakal P., et al. (2019), “Effectiveness of sequential compression devices in prevention of venous thromboembolism in medically ill hospitalized patients: A retrospective cohort study”, *Turk J Haematol.*, vol. 36 (3), pp. 193–198.

28. Kamei H., et al. (2017), “Donor selection and prophylactic strategy for venous thromboembolic events in living donors of liver transplantation based on results of thrombophilia screening tests”, *Ann Transplant.*, vol. 22, pp. 409–416.

Information about the authors:

Vasylyuk Svitlana Viktorivna,

M. Physics, Researcher, Physics faculty
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv,
4-b, Hluskova ave., Kyiv, 03127, Ukraine

Zaitsev Dmytro Valeriovych,
M. D., Research Assistant
Kundiiev Institute of Occupational Health
of the National Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine
75, Saksaganskogo Str., Kyiv, 01033, Ukraine

Brytan Andrii Vasylovych,
Candidate of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Assistant Professor,
Physics faculty,
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv
4-b, Hluskova ave., Kyiv, 03127, Ukraine